



CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES
PPE Regulation 2016/425

PPE-R/01.004
Version 1

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

Number of pages: 1		Approval stage :	Approved on :
Origin : Vertical Group1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group	21.04.2018
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee	21.04.2018
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EU PPE Expert Group	03.05.2021
Question related to	<input type="checkbox"/> PPE Regulation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EN/prEN: EN 1384:1996 & EN1384:2012	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:
Article:	Annex:	Clause:	
Key words: Helmets for equestrian activities, peak, deflection			
Question: For the purpose of testing peak deflection, what should be considered a peak, because the definitions given are not clear?			
This sheet relates to the following standards: EN 1384:1996 (+A1) & EN 1384 : 2012 clauses 3.10, 5.5 & 6.8			
Solution: Limited protection to the eyes may be provided by an extension forward from the that part of the helmet which covers the head directly from above. Depending upon the construction of the helmet, such an extension may be considered to be, or not to be, a peak. It may be integral with, or detachable by the wearer from, the helmet. In the case of helmets whose construction incorporates a shell fitted with protective padding, the extension is considered to be a peak if it is not made from the same material as the protective padding (that is, it is made from the same material of the shell). If the extension is made from the same material as the protective padding, it is considered not to be a peak. In the case of helmets whose construction does not incorporate a shell (that is the helmet is predominantly made from shock absorbing material), the extension is considered not to be a peak if it is integral with the part of the helmet which covers the head directly from above.			