

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES PPE Regulation 2016/425

PPE-R/10.00
Version 01

Number of pages: 1	Approval stage :		
Number of pages: 1	Tipproval stage .	Approved on :	
Origin : France	✓ Vertical Group✓ Horizontal Committee✓ EU PPE Working Group	21.04.2018 21.04.2018 29.11.2019	
·	☑ EN/prEN: EN ISO 20345: 2011, EN ISO 20346: 2014 and EN ISO 20347: 2012	☐ Other:	
Article: Annex: (Clause: 8		
Key words: Obsolescence			
Question: In the standards EN ISO 20345: 2011, EN ISO 20346: 2014 and EN ISO 20347: 2012 clause 8.1 it is written: "Safety footwear shall be supplied to the customer with information written at least in the official language(s) of the state of destination. All information shall be unambiguous. The following information shall be given: 7) obsolescence deadline or period of obsolescence" 7) obsolescence deadline or period of obsolescence" 7) obsolescence deadline is difficult to assess by the manufacturer. It is possible to give a limit when the products are stored by the manufacturer himself because he knows the conditions. But, when the products are stored by a retailer or the customer, it is very difficult to give figures. The problem is more critical with polymeric boots (PU, due to hydrolysis) French manufacturers try to define this limit period but they have had information from Italy that it is possible to avoid to answer to this point of the standard with a sentence like: "Due to several factors, humidity, changes in the materials in the time, it is not possible to give a date of obsolescence." This sentence is not conform to the standard, but conform to the regulation. Does that mean that CE marking is possible but reference to the standard impossible? Solution: To avoid inconsistent information, VG 10 proposes to give the following text to help the person that puts the product on the market: "When stored under normal conditions (light, temperature, and relative humidity), the obsolescence date of a footwear is generally: 1			