

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES PPE Regulation 2016/425

| PPE-R/01.029 |
|--------------|
| Version 1 |

| RECOMMENDATION FOR USE | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|--|--|
| Number of pages: 1 | | | Approval stage : | Approved on : | |
| Origin : Vertical Group | 1 | | ✓ Vertical Group✓ Horizontal Committee✓ EU PPE Expert Group | 21.04.2018 21.04.2018 03.05.2021 | |
| Question related to | ☐ PPE Regulation | ⊠ EN/prEN | I: EN 812:2012 | Other: | |
| Article: | Annex: | Clause: 7.2 | 3 d) | | |
| Key words: | | | | | |
| Marking | | | | | |
| Question: | | | | | |
| In clause 7.2.3 d), is the reference to clause 7.1 correct? | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Solution: | | | | | |
| No, reference should be to clause 7.2.2. instead | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | |
| Clause 7.2.3 d) requires the significance of the markings under clause 7.1 to be explained. Clause 7.1 specifies the general markings, such as 'number of the European Standard', and requiring the significance of such markings to be explained seems illogical. EN 397:2012 + A1:2012 clause 7.2.3 d) includes a very similar requirement, but instead it is the optional markings for which the significance must be explained. | | | | | |
| It has been interpreted that the requirement in EN 812 was intended to be of a similar to that in EN 397. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |